

County of Imperial

Existing Districts | 2020 Census Totals (Legacy File)

2010 District Populations

The County of Imperial was last redistricted in 2011 with a process led by the Board of Supervisors. The populations of each district at that time were based on the 2010 Decennial Census.¹

	2010 Cens	sus Populatio	on
	Population	Devia	ation
1	38,810	3,904	11.2%
2	30,010	-4,896	-14.0%
3	39,054	11.9%	
4	36,076	1,170	3.4%
5	30,578	-4,328	-12.4%
Total	Deviation		25.9%

As the following table shows, utilizing the American Community Survey (ACS) data from the 2006-2010 vintage which would be used in the 2011 redistricting, all five districts were above 50% of the Latino Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP).

	Total CVAP	CVAP Asian		CVAP BI	ack	CVAP Latino		
1	15,323	300	2.0%	59	0.4%	14,240	92.9%	
2	15,602	304	1.9%	492	3.2%	10,480	67.2%	
3	20,466	344	1.7%	1,505	7.4%	12,829	62.7%	
4	19,862	143	0.7%	2,066	10.4%	10,924	55.0%	
5	16,782	114	0.7%	672	4.0%	11,512	68.6%	

2010 Citizen Voting Age Population (2006-2010 ACS)

Population Growth and Deviations

The US Census has released in a "Legacy Format" the data from the decennial census, identifying the whole count of persons and where they resided as of April 1, 2020. Redistricting Partners has concluded the work of placing this data into the census blocks, block groups, and tracts.

This data represents the whole population data prior to a state required reallocation of prison population that will be conducted by the Statewide Database at UC Berkeley. This adjusted data will be completed in the coming weeks and updated counts will be provided at that time.

Based on this data, the county has increased in population by 5,174 counted residents, or 3%, since the last decennial census. This is below the state's population change of 6.1%. District 3 saw the largest increase of population with 3,362 additional counted residents and a growth rate

¹ These counts are based on the 2010 data put into the 2020 Census geography. There may be slight differences as many census blocks were consolidated and this would cause counts to shift since the 2011 redistricting.

of 8.6%. District 4, other the other hand, had the slowest growth rate with 0.8%. All five districts saw an increase in population.

	2020	2010	Cha	nge				
1	39,369	38,810	559	1.4%				
2	30,340	30,010	330	1.1%				
3	42,416	39,054	3,362	8.6%				
4	36,360	36,076	284	0.8%				
5	31,217	30,578	639	2.1%				
Total	179,702	174,528	5,174	3.0%				

Census Populations and Growth
(2010 Census to 2020 Census)

With a countywide population of 179,702, the ideal population for any district in the currently available complete census dataset prior to the reallocation of prison population would be 35,940. The seat with the largest population is District 3 at 42,416 (+18%) and the smallest is District 2 at 30,340 (-15.6%), placing the county at a total of 33.6% deviation, well above the 10% required deviation range.

202	U Census Popi	ulation and L	eviations
	Population	ation	
1	39,369	3,429	9.5%
2	30,340	(5,600)	-15.6%
3	42,416	18.0%	
4	36,360	420	1.2%
5	31,217	(4,723)	-13.1%
Total	Deviation		33.6%

2020 Census Population and Deviations

The ACS which contains the ethnic and racial subgroups utilized for Voting Rights Act calculations have been finalized for the current redistricting cycle. This data shows changes in the ethnic composition of districts since the prior redistricting. Overall, Adult Citizen growth was 32.3% among Latinos and .6% of Asians, while the Black population saw a negative growth rate of 24.1%. The total CVAP for the county grew at a rate of 16.6%

(Current ACS)									
	Total CVAP	CVAP A	Asian	CVAP BI	ack	CVAP La	atino		
1	20,770	60	0.3%	88	0.4%	20,122	96.9%		
2	18,001	281	1.6%	303	1.7%	14,525	80.7%		
3	25,036	552	2.2%	1,169	4.7%	18,097	72.3%		
4	21,346	225	1.1%	1,592	7.5%	13,045	61.1%		
5	17,472	94	0.5%	488	2.8%	13,584	77.7%		

Current Citizen Voting Age Population


Attached is a full packet with a map of each district and their population details based on the

total population count of the 2020 Census. This data is expected to be finalized with a State Prison Population reallocation by September 23rd.

This memorandum will be updated as more data become available.



Imperial County Board of Superv Existing Lines





2010 Census

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	38,810	30,010	39,054	36,076	30,578
Deviation	3,904	-4,896	4,148	1,170	-4,328
Deviation %	11.2%	-14.0%	11.9%	3.4%	-12.4%
Other	621	4,106	7,103	8,409	5,402
Other %	1.6%	13.7%	18.2%	23.3%	17.7%
Latino	37,551	24,738	28,842	24,919	24,222
Latino %	96.8%	82.4%	73.9%	69.1%	79.2%
Asian	504	593	805	567	374
Asian %	1.3%	2.0%	2.1%	1.6%	1.2%
Black	134	574	2,304	2,181	580
Black %	0.3%	1.9%	5.9%	6.0%	1.9%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	15,323	15,602	20,466	19,862	16,782
Other CVAP	724	4,326	5,788	6,730	4,484
Other CVAP %	4.7%	27.7%	28.3%	33.9%	26.7%
Latino CVAP	14,240	10,480	12,829	10,924	11,512
Latino CVAP %	92.9%	67.2%	62.7%	55.0%	68.6%
Asian CVAP	300	304	344	143	114
Asian CVAP %	2.0%	1.9%	1.7%	0.7%	0.7%
Black CVAP	59	492	1,505	2,066	672
Black CVAP %	0.4%	3.2%	7.4%	10.4%	4.0%



Existing Lines

504

2.0%

1.3%

134

59

0.3%

0.4%

District 1

38,810


Total CVAP

15,323

3,904

724

11.2%



621

4.7%

1.6%

14,240


37,551


92.9%


96.8%

300

2010 Census











Existing Lines


District 2



13% 2% 1% Other % Latino % Asian % Black % Citizen Voting Age Population

82%









Existing Lines


District 3




39,054 4,148 11.9% 7,103 18.2% 28,842 73.9% 805 2.1% 2,304 5.9% Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP	Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	% Black	Black %
Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP	39,054	4,148	11.9%	7,103	18.2%	28,842	73.9%	805	2.1%	2,304	5.9%
	Total CVAF	o Other C	VAP Other (CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian C	VAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
20,466 5,788 28.3% 12,829 62.7% 344 1.7% 1,505 7.4%	20,466	5,788	3 28.	3%	12,829	62.7%	344	1.7	'%	1,505	7.4%

2010 Census









Existing Lines

District 4


36,076

1,170


3.4%


8,409


23.3%



Citizen Voting Age Population







	Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
--	------------	------------	--------------	-------------	---------------	------------	--------------	------------	--------------

19,862 6,730 33.9% 10,924 55.0% 143 0.7% 2,066	10.4%
--	-------

24,919

69.1%

567

1.6%

2,181


6.0%



Existing Lines

District 5






Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asia	n % Black	Black %
30,578	-4,328	-12.4%	5,402	17.7%	24,222	79.2%	374 1.2	% 580	1.9%
Total CVAP	Other C	VAD Other		Latino CV/AP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAD	Asian CVAD %	Black CV/AD	Black CVAP %
TOTALCVAR	othere	VAF Other	CVAF /0			ASIGH CVAF	ASIGIT C VAF /	DIACKCVAF	DIACK CVAF /0
16,782	4,48	4 26	5.7%	11,512	68.6%	114	0.7%	672	4.0%



Imperial County Board of Superv Existing Lines





2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	39,369	30,340	42,416	36,360	31,217
Deviation	3,429	-5,600	6,476	420	-4,723
Deviation %	9.5%	-15.6%	18.0%	1.2%	-13.1%
Other	786	3,109	5,696	6,077	4,917
Other %	2.0%	10.2%	13.4%	16.7%	15.8%
Latino	37,838	26,564	34,358	28,549	25,718
Latino %	96.1%	87.6%	81.0%	78.5%	82.4%
Asian	669	270	715	330	260
Asian %	1.7%	0.9%	1.7%	0.9%	0.8%
Black	76	397	1,647	1,404	322
Black %	0.2%	1.3%	3.9%	3.9%	1.0%


Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

J					
	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	20,770	18,001	25,036	21,346	17,472
Other CVAP	500	2,891	5,218	6,484	3,306
Other CVAP %	2.4%	16.1%	20.8%	30.4%	18.9%
Latino CVAP	20,122	14,525	18,097	13,045	13,584
Latino CVAP %	96.9%	80.7%	72.3%	61.1%	77.7%
Asian CVAP	60	281	552	225	94
Asian CVAP %	0.3%	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%	0.5%
Black CVAP	88	303	1,169	1,592	488
Black CVAP %	0.4%	1.7%	4.7%	7.5%	2.8%




Existing Lines


District 1




Population Deviation Deviation % Other Other % Latino Latino % Asian Asian % Black Black % 1.7% 39,369 3,429 9.5% 786 2.0% 37,838 96.1% 669 76 0.2% Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP % 0.4% 20,770 500 2.4% 20,122 96.9% 60 0.3% 88

2020 Census












Existing Lines


District 2





Citizen Voting Age Population








Existing Lines


District 3




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	% Black	Black %
42,416	6,476	18.0%	5,696	13.4%	34,358	81.0%	715	1.7%	1,647	3.9%
Total CVAP	Other C	VAP Other C	VAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian (CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
25,036	5,218	3 20.8	3%	18,097	72.3%	552	2.2	2%	1,169	4.7%

81%

Citizen Voting Age Population










Existing Lines


District 4

2020 Census










Pc	pulation	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asia	an % Blac	k Black %
	36,360	420	1.2%	6,077	16.7%	28,549	78.5%	330 0.	9% 1,404	4 3.9%
т	otal CVAF	o Other C	VAP Other	CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	6 Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
	21,346	6,48	4 30	0.4%	13,045	61.1%	225	1.1%	1,592	7.5%



Existing Lines

District 5





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	o Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
31,217	-4,723	-13.1%	4,917	15.8%	25,718	82.4%	260	0.8%	322	1.0%
Total CVAP	Other C	VAP Other	CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVA	AP% Bla	ack CVAP	Black CVAP %
17,472	3,306	5 18	8.9%	13,584	77.7%	94	0.5%		488	2.8%